Honorable John Kerry, Secretary of State United States Department of State 2201 C Street NW Washington, DC 20520

September 21, 2015

Dear Secretary Kerry,

In 2013 the United States took the important step of being the first country to ratify the Minamata Convention on Mercury.ⁱ We appreciate your leadership on reducing global mercury pollution and are writing to strongly urge the U.S. to reverse an outdated federal policy that is both impeding mercury reduction efforts domestically and contrary to the position taken by the U.S. during the negotiations.

The goal of controlling the anthropogenic releases of mercury throughout its lifecycle is the primary driver that shaped obligations under the Convention. These obligations, which will be phased in over time, include: supply and trade controls on mercury; mercury-added product phase outs and phase downs; reducing mercury use in processes; and air emission controls for major sources of mercury pollution.

Dental amalgam is now one of the largest consumer uses of mercury in the U.S. today. According to the latest U.S. Geological Survey report, 18-30 tons of mercury is used in dental amalgam each year accounting for between 35% and 57% of mercury use in 2010.ⁱⁱ

During the treaty negotiations, the Department of State expressed support for reducing and eventually phasing out dental amalgam use:

"The United States supports further consideration of dental amalgam by the Intergovernmental Negotiation Committee such that the agreement is able to achieve the phase down, with the goal of eventual phase out by all Parties, of mercury amalgam upon the development and availability of affordable, viable alternatives."

This Department of State (DoS) position is reflected in the final Convention text, as Annex B of the Convention requires Parties to "phase down the use of dental amalgam", using two or more measures identified in the Annex. iv

However, the Food & Drug Administration (FDA) apparently takes the opposite view, as expressed when it reclassified dental amalgam as a Class II medical device in a rule published on August 4, 2009. In assessing the potential impacts of the reclassification, the FDA states:

"We are unable to estimate possible changes in exposure that may result from this rule. Dentists may use dental amalgam for some patients for whom they may not have used the device previously, and decide not to use the device for other patients for whom they have used the device. However, any change away from use of dental amalgam is likely to result in negative public health outcomes (delayed dental treatments or increased costs of treatment); while there would be a decrease in mercury exposure, there is no evidence that there would be any reduction in adverse effects associated with mercury."

Accordingly, FDA's position as articulated in 2009 and unaltered since that time is fundamentally at odds with the Convention's provisions to "phase down the use of dental amalgam," as well as the U.S. Government's position taken during the negotiations. The difference in approach reflects the Convention's consideration of dental amalgam's full life cycle, and the comprehensive approach in the Convention "to protect the human health and the environment from anthropogenic emissions and releases of mercury..."

Announcing support for the Convention, the DoS said that, "The United States has already taken significant steps to reduce the amount of mercury generated and released into the environment, and can implement Convention obligations under existing law." However, unless the FDA's views and associated policies toward the phase down of dental mercury are changed, it may undermine comprehensive mercury reduction efforts in the U.S. and also could send the wrong message to other Parties to the Convention.

Therefore, we respectfully recommend that DoS take a leadership role in encouraging FDA to reduce amalgam use. With the Environmental Protection Agency proposing a rule to reduce dental mercury pollution, viii the responsibility for phasing down amalgam use squarely falls on FDA.

Thank you again for being a strong leader on reducing mercury pollution. We look forward to meeting with you or your staff to discuss this further. Please feel free to contact Michael Bender (email: mercurypolicy@aol.com) if you or your staff have questions.

Sincerely,

Pamela Miller, Executive Director Alaska Community Action on Toxics Anchorage, AK

Jane Williams, Director **California Communities Against Toxics**Rosamond, CA

Robert Zimmerman, Executive Director Charles River Watershed Association Weston, MA

Kathy Curtis, Executive Director Clean and Healthy New York Albany, NY

Robert Wendelgass, President & CEO Clean Water Action
Washington, DC

Amber Meyer Smith Clean Wisconsin Madison, WI Bill Magavern, Policy Director

Coalition for Clean Air

Los Angeles, CA

Sharon E. Lewis, Executive Director

Connecticut Coalition for Environmental Justice

Hartford, CT

Veronica Eady, Vice President

Conservation Law Foundation

Boston, MA

Mike Belliveau, Executive Director

Environmental Health Strategy Center

Portland, Maine

Sue Phelan, Director

GreenCAPE

West Barnstable, MA

Marcia Cooper, President

Green Newton

Newton, MA

Rachel L. Gibson, JD, MPP, Director, Safer Chemicals

Health Care Without Harm

US and Canada

Deanna White & Kathleen Schuler, Co-Directors

Healthy Legacy Coalition

Minneapolis, MN

Bill Walsh, Executive Director

Healthy Building Network

Washington, DC

Cheryl Osimo, Executive Director

Margo Simon Golden, President, Board of Directors

Massachusetts Breast Cancer Coalition

Rockland, MA

Julia Blatt, Executive Director

Massachusetts Rivers Alliance

Boston, Massachusetts

Cathy Buckley, Chair

Massachusetts Sierra Club

Boston, MA

Cheryl Nenn **Milwaukee Riverkeeper**

Milwaukee, WI

Pete Didisheim, Senior Director of Advocacy

Natural Resources Council of Maine

Augusta, ME

David Lennett, Senior Attorney

Natural Resources Defense Council

Washington, DC

Niaz Dorry, Coordinating Director

Northwest Atlantic Marine Alliance

Gloucester, MA

Melanie Houston, Director of Environmental Health

Ohio Environmental Council

Columbus, OH

Chris Hagerbaumer, Deputy Director

Oregon Environmental Council

Portland, OR

Sejal Choksi-Chugh, Executive Director

San Francisco Baykeeper

Oakland, CA

F. Ann Devlin, President

Saugus Action Volunteers for the Environment (SAVE)

Saugus, MA

Charlie Winterwood, Chair

Sierra Club - National Toxics Committee

San Francisco, CA

Richard R. Vanderslice, Co Chair, Steering Committee

Sustainable Sudbury

Sudbury, MA

Doug Karpa, Legal Program Director

Turtle Island Restoration Network

Olema, CA

Matt Prindiville, Executive Director

UpStream

Rockland, ME

Paul Burns, Executive Director

Vermont Public Interest Research Group

Montpelier, VT

International

Jeffer Castelo Branco

ACPO – Associação de Combate aos Poluentes

Santos – SP – Brasil

Dr. Elena Manvelyan, MD, PhD, President

Armenian Women for Health and Healthy Environment NGO

Yerevan, Armenia

Najwa Bourawi, President

APEDDUB Bizerte

Tunisia

Richard Gutierrez, JD, L.M., Executive Director

Ban Toxics!

Quezon City, Philippines

Ram Charitra Sah, Executive Director

CEPHED

Kathmandu, Nepal

Gilbert KUEPOUO, Ph.D., Coordinator/Executive Director

CREPD- Research and Education Center for Development

Yaoundé, Cameroon

Fernando Bejarano G.

Centro de Análisis y Acción en Tóxicos y sus Alternativas

Mexico

Griffins Ochieng

Centre for Environment Justice and Development

Nairobi, Kenya

Shahriar Hossain, Ph.D.

Environment and Social Development Organization

Bangladesh

Leticia Baselga

Ecologistas en Acción

Sevilla, Spain

Jeremy Wates, Secretary General

European Environmental Bureau

Brussels, Belgium

Lisette van Vliet, Ph.D., Senior Advisor on Chemicals & Chronic Disease Prevention

Health & Environment Alliance

Brussels, Belgium

Josh Karliner, International Coordinator

Health Care Without Harm

Berkeley, CA

Yuyun Ismawati

Indonesia's Toxics-Free Network

Indonesia

Dr. Naji Kodeih, Policy advisor/toxic campaigner

IndyACT

Beirut, Lebanon

Andrea Carmen, Executive Director

International Indian Treaty Council

San Francisco CA, USA

Dr. Olga Speranskaya and Manny Calonzo, Co-Chairs

International POPS Elimination Network

Berkeley, CA & Sweden

Dominique Bally, Programme Director

Jeunes Volontaires pour l'Environnement

Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire

Servando Pérez-Domínguez, President

Mercuriados

Spain

Jean-Pierre Havard, Chairman

NGO Solidarité Guyane (Mercury analysis in French Amazonia)

Le Mans - France

Juliet Pratt, Vice President

Oceania

New Zealand

Anthony Akpan, President

Pan African Vision for the Environment

Lagos, Nigeria

Dr. Mahmood A. Khwaja, Senior Adviser (Chemicals)
Sustainable Development Policy Institute

Islamabad. Pakistan

Leslie Adogame

Sustainable Research and Action for Environmental Development

Lagos, Nigeria

Margaretha Molius

Swedish Association of Dental Mercury Patients

Trollhättan, Sweden

Zuleica Nycz

TOXISPHERA Environmental Health Association APROMAC Environment Protection Association AMAR Environment Defense Association

Brazil

Monika Frielinghaus

VHUE e.V.

Neunkirchen, GERMANY

Charlie Brown

World Alliance for Mercury Free Dentistry

Washington, DC, USA

Michael Bender and Elena Lymberidi-Settimo, Co-coordinators

Zero Mercury Working Group

Brussels, Belgium and Montpelier, VT USA

cc Ms. Sylvia Burwell, Secretary
U.S. Health and Human Services
Hubert H. Humphrey Building

200 Independence Avenue, S.W.

Washington, D.C. 20201

Dr. Stephen Ostroff, M.D., Acting Commissioner U.S. Food & Drug Administration 10903 New Hampshire Avenue Silver Spring, MD 20993

Ms. Gina McCarthy, Administrator Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W. Washington, DC 20460

Ms. Judith G. Garber, Acting Assistant Secretary U.S. Department of State Bureau of Oceans International Environmental and Scientific Affairs 2201 C Street NW Washington, DC 20520

Endnotes

ⁱ Minamata Convention on Mercury, http://www.mercuryconvention.org/

[&]quot;Changing Patterns in the Use, Recycling, and Material Substitution of Mercury in the United States (2013), U.S. Geological Survey, p.26, http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2013/5137/

Submission of the United States Government, Mercury Intergovernmental Negotiating Committee, page 6 http://www.unep.org/hazardoussubstances/Portals/9/Mercury/Documents/INC3/United%20States.pdf

iv Minamata Convention on Mercury, page 46, http://www.mercuryconvention.org/

^v 74 Fed. Reg. 38707-08 (August 4, 2009)(emphasis added).

vi Minamata Convention on Mercury, page 6, http://www.mercuryconvention.org/

The United States joins Minamata Convention on Mercury, Hails Agreement as a Tremendous Step Forward in Protecting People in the United States from Transboundary Mercury Pollution http://www.state.gov/e/oes/eqt/mercury/

viii 79 Fed. Reg. 63258 (October 22, 2014).